

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE PRODUCTS
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

This document relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

MDL CASE NO. 3:10-MD-02143 RS

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTIONS TO SEAL MATERIALS FILED
IN CONNECTION WITH SUMMARY
JUDGMENT AND DAUBERT MOTIONS**

DATE ACTION FILED: Oct. 27, 2009

1 WHEREAS, the Court has set a briefing schedule for the parties' dispositive motions
2 ("Summary Judgment Motions"), and the parties' Daubert Motions (Dkt. 2211);

3 WHEREAS, consistent with this Court's previous direction to the parties in connection
4 with administrative motions to seal (*see* Dkts. 1021, 1625), the parties wish to avoid burdening the
5 Court with seriatim sealing motions, declarations, and proposed orders that are likely to be filed by
6 the parties and various non-parties in connection with (1) Summary Judgment Motions, including
7 any supporting declarations, exhibits, expert reports, and/or other evidentiary materials
8 (collectively, "Supporting Materials"); (2) Opposition briefs to Summary Judgment Motions,
9 including any Supporting Materials; (3) Reply briefs in support of Summary Judgment Motions,
10 including any Supporting Materials; (4) Daubert Motions, including any Supporting Materials
11 ("Daubert Motions"); (5) opposition briefs to Daubert Motions, including any Supporting
12 Materials; and (6) reply briefs in support of Daubert Motions, including any Supporting Materials
13 (collectively "The Motions");

14 WHEREAS, in order to provide sufficient time for the parties and non-parties to identify
15 sealable materials in connection with The Motions, all parties other than the Indirect Purchaser
16 Plaintiffs ("IPPs") have agreed to the procedure and schedule set forth below in Paragraphs 1-4,
17 and the IPPs have agreed to the procedure and schedule set forth below in Paragraph 5(a)-(f) with
18 respect to The Motions filed in the IPP action;

19 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the undersigned
20 counsel for the parties, subject to Court approval, that:

21 Procedure With Respect to All Actions (Other than the IPP Action):

22 1. The party submitting materials in connection with The Motions (the "Filing Party")
23 shall file under seal any document that contains or refers to information designated by a party (the
24 "Designating Party") or non-party (the "Designating Non-Party") under any of the applicable
25 protective orders entered in this action (Dkts. 923, 629, 1605).

26 2. Within three (3) business days after filing, the Filing Party must provide notice to
27 any Designating Non-Party whose materials have been filed under seal pursuant to this Order.
28

3. Any Designating Party or Designating Non-Party that seeks to permanently seal information filed in connection with The Motions shall file an administrative motion to seal, a single declaration and proposed order in accordance with Local Civil Rule 79-5 no later than September 19, 2017, which is one week prior to the hearing on dispositive motions, addressing all of its sealing requests related to The Motions.

4. Upon resolution of all such proposed orders, any Filing Party that believes revised redactions may be necessary for the public version of any document previously filed may re-file such document with the sealed portions revised consistent with this Court's rulings.

Procedure With Respect to the IPP Action:

5. With respect to the briefing of The Motions to be filed in connection with the IPP action only (including initial motions, all oppositions, all replies, and all Supporting Materials), the following procedure and schedule will apply:

a. When a Filing Party seeks to file a document that contains or refers to information designated under any of the applicable protective orders entered in this action (Dkts. 923, 629, 1605), it shall file such document under seal and must include a Notice of Intention to Seal Documents (a "Notice").

b. In the event the Filing Party intends to file material designated by a Designating Non-Party, the Filing Party must, within three (3) business days of filing a Notice, also provide the Designating Non-Party with the same materials it is required to provide to the parties to this action, with the exception that no material filed under seal by a Designating Party shall be disclosed to a Designating Non-Party.

c. Within ten (10) business days of the filing of a Notice, any Designating Party and/or Designating Non-Party shall inform the Filing Party whether sealing of any material is required and provide proposed line-item redactions of materials and exhibits to the Filing Party.

d. Within fourteen (14) business days of the filing of a Notice, the Filing Party shall file (i) a sealed courtesy copy of the filing that highlights or otherwise specifies any material requested to be sealed by a Designating Party or Designating Non-Party in accordance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d)(2), and (ii) a public version encompassing the requested redactions.

e. Any Designating Party or Designating Non-Party that seeks to permanently seal information shall file an administrative motion to seal, a single declaration and proposed order in accordance with Local Civil Rule 79-5 no later than September 19, 2017, which is one week prior to the hearing on dispositive motions, addressing all of its sealing requests. The administrative motion to seal, declaration, and proposed order may be consolidated with the administrative motion to seal, declaration, and proposed order referenced in Paragraph 3 above.

f. Upon resolution of all such proposed orders, any Filing Party that believes revised redactions may be necessary for the public version of any document previously filed may re-file such document with the sealed portions revised consistent with this Court's rulings.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 22, 2017

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

By: /s/ Belinda S Lee

Daniel M. Wall (Bar No. 102580)
 Belinda S Lee (Bar No. 199635)
 Brendan A. McShane (Bar No. 227501)
 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
 San Francisco, California 94111-6538
 Telephone: (415) 391-0600
 Facsimile: (415) 395-8095
 Dan.Wall@lw.com
 Belinda.Lee@lw.com
 Brendan.McShane@lw.com

Acting as Liaison Counsel for Defendants

Dated: June 22, 2017

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

By: /s/ Shana E. Scarlett
 SHANA E. SCARLETT

Jeff D. Friedman (173886)
 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202
 Berkeley, CA 94710
 Telephone: (510) 725-3000
 Facsimile: (510) 725-3001
 jeff@hbsslw.com
 shanas@hbsslw.com

Steve W. Berman (Pro Hac Vice)
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 623-7292
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
steve@hbsslaw.com

Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Class

Dated: June 22, 2017

CROWELL & MORING LLP

By: /s/ Beatrice B. Nguyen

Beatrice B. Nguyen
CROWELL & MORING LLP
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: 415.986.2800
Facsimile: 415.986.2827
bbnguyen@crowell.com

Matthew J. McBurney
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: 202.624.2500
Facsimile: 202.628.5116
mmcburney@crowell.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff HP Inc. f/k/a Hewlett-Packard Company

Dated: June 22, 2017

CROWELL & MORING LLP

By: /s/ Chahira Solh

Daniel A. Sasse
Chahira Solh
CROWELL & MORING LLP
3 Park Plaza, 20th Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Telephone: 949.263.8400
Facsimile: 949.263.8414
dsasse@crowell.com
csolh@crowell.com

Attorneys for Ingram Micro Inc. and Synnex Corporation

Dated: June 22, 2017

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: /s/ Rodney J. Ganske

Michael P. Kenny
Debra D. Bernstein
Rodney J. Ganske
Andrew J. Tuck
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
1201 W. Peachtree Street NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
Telephone: (404) 881-7000
Facsimile: (404) 881-7777
mike.kenny@alston.com
debra.bernstein@alston.com
rod.ganske@alston.com
andy.tuck@alston.com

James M. Wagstaffe
KERR & WAGSTAFFE LLP
101 Mission Street, 18th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105-1576
Telephone: (415) 371-8500
Facsimile: (415) 371-0500
wagstaffe@kerrwagstaffe.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Dell Inc. and Dell Products
L.P., and as liaison counsel for the Direct Action
Plaintiffs*

Dated: June 22, 2017

CARLTON FIELDS JORDEN BURT, P.A.

By: /s/ David B. Esau

David B. Esau
CityPlace Tower
525 Okeechobee Blvd., Suite 1200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
Telephone: (561) 659-7070
Facsimile: (561) 659-7368
desau@cfjblaw.com

*Attorney for Plaintiffs Acer America Corp.,
Gateway, Inc., and Gateway U.S. Retail, Inc., f/k/a
eMachines, Inc.*

Dated: June 22, 2017

KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP

By: /s/ Robert J. Pfister

David M. Stern (State Bar No. 67697)
Michael L. Tuchin (State Bar No. 150375)

Robert J. Pfister (State Bar No. 241370)
Jonathan M. Weiss (State Bar No. 281217)
KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars, Thirty-Ninth Floor
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: 310-407-4000
Facsimile: 310-407-9090
Email: dstern@ktbslaw.com
mtuchin@ktbslaw.com
rpfister@ktbslaw.com
jweiss@ktbslaw.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee
for the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust
and Peter Kravitz, as Trustee for the RSH
Liquidating Trust*

Dated: June 22, 2017

ROPES & GRAY LLP

By: /s/ Mark S. Popofsky

Mark S. Popofsky
One Metro Center
700 12th Street NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005-3948
Telephone: (202) 508-4600
Facsimile: (202) 508-4650
mark.popofsky@ropesgray.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi-LG Data Storage,
Inc., Hitachi-LG Data Storage Korea, Inc.*

Dated: June 22, 2017

BLANK ROME LLP

By: /s/ Lisa M. Kaas

Lisa M. Kaas
1825 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 420-2200
Facsimile: (202) 420-2201
lkaas@blankrome.com

*Attorneys for Defendants BenQ Corporation and
BenQ America Corporation*

Dated: June 22, 2017

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

By: /s/ Jeffrey L. Kessler

Jeffrey L. Kessler
200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166
Telephone: (212) 294-6700
Facsimile: (212) 294-4700
jkessler@winston.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corporation
and Panasonic Corporation of North America*

Dated: June 22, 2017

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

By: /s/ Robert B. Pringle

Robert B. Pringle
101 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 591-1000
Facsimile: (415) 591-1400
rpringle@winston.com

Attorneys for Defendant NEC Corporation

Dated: June 22, 2017

BAKER BOTTS LLP

By: /s/ Evan J. Werbel

Evan J. Werbel
1299 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 639-7700
Facsimile: (202) 639-7890
evan.werbel@bakerbotts.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Koninklijke Philips
Electronics N.V., Lite-On IT Corp., Philips & Lite-
On Digital Solutions Corp., Philips & Lite-On
Digital Solutions USA, Inc.*

Dated: June 22, 2017

EIMER STAHL LLP

By: /s/ Nathan P. Eimer

Nathan P. Eimer
224 S. Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100
Chicago, IL 60604
Telephone: (312) 660-7600
Facsimile: (312) 692-1718
neimer@eimerstahl.com

Attorneys for Defendant LG Electronics, Inc.

1 Dated: June 22, 2017

VINSON & ELKINS LLP

2 By: /s/ Craig P. Seebald

3 Craig P. Seebald
4 2200 Pennsylvania Ave NW Suite 500 West
5 Washington, DC 20037-1701
6 Telephone: (202) 639-6585
7 Facsimile: (202) 639-660
8 cseebald@velaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant Hitachi, Ltd.

9 Dated: June 22, 2017

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

10 By: /s/ Ian T. Simmons

11 Ian T. Simmons
12 1625 Eye Street, NW
13 Washington, DC 20006
14 Telephone: (202) 383-5300
15 Facsimile: (202) 383-5414
16 isimmons@omm.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics Co.,
Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.*

17 Dated: June 22, 2017

JONES DAY

18 By: /s/ Eric P. Enson

19 Eric P. Enson
20 555 South Flower Street
21 Fiftieth Floor
22 Los Angeles, CA 90071
23 Telephone: (213) 489-3939
24 Facsimile: (213) 243-2539
25 epenson@JonesDay.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Pioneer North America,
Inc. and Pioneer Electronics (USA) Inc.*

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 DATED: _____

28 HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE IN THE FILING

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I declare that concurrence has been obtained from each of the signatories to file this document with the Court.

/s/ Belinda S Lee
Belinda S Lee